

United States Patent and Trademark Office

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE nited States Petent and Trademark Office idress COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D. C. 20231

			www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/709,905	11/10/2000	Kalyanaraman Ramnarayan	24737-1906C	3606
	7590 08/07/2002 HRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP		EXAMINER	
4250 EXECUTIVE SQ 7TH FLOOR LA JOLLA, CA 92037			BRUSCA, JOHN S	
LA JOLLA, C	A 92037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631 DATE MAILED: 08/07/2002	20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	L Aunticution No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Asticus Comment	09/709,905	RAMNARAYAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
T. 444 W.O.O.A.T.S. 444	John S Brusca	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederations of time may be waitable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (60) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the set or charded period for reply will, by that the, cause the application to become ASAMDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely flext, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).						
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		s prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-94 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some*c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 10-93 have been renumbered 11-94. The dependencies of the claims have been renumbered accordingly. This Office action refers to the renumbered claims. Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - 1. Claims 1-25, 45-50, 66, 67, and 87-90, drawn to a method of designing drugs that act on polymorphic proteins, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
 - 3. Claims 26, 27, 82, and 91, drawn to a method of selection of a drug therapy, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
 - 4. Claims 29, 68-74, 80, and 92, drawn to a method of predicting a clinical response, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
 - 5. Claim 30 drawn to a method of designing therapeutic agents against drug resistant targets, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
 - 5. Claims 31 and 93, drawn to a method of creating a polymorphism database, classified in class 702, subclass 27.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,905

Art Unit: 1631

٠.

- Claims 32-44 and 84-86, drawn to a method of making a polymorphic database, classified in class 702, subclass 27
- Claims 51-53, drawn to a computer comprising a database of protein structures, classified in class 700, subclass 1.
- Claims 54-65 drawn to a database of polynucleotide sequences and three dimensional structure coordinates of encoded polypeptides, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
- Claims 75-79, 81, 83, and 94, drawn to a method of predicting resistance or susceptibility of a target polypeptide to a drug, classified in class 702, subclass 27.
- 6. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions 1-6 and 9 are all unrelated to each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions comprise different steps and produce different results.

Inventions 7 and 8 and inventions 1-6 and 9 are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the computer and database of inventions 7 or 8 could be use with any of the methods of inventions 1-6 or 9.

Inventions 7 and 8 are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Application/Control Number: 09/709,905

Art Unit: 1631

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions comprise databases with different information.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups 1-6, 8, and 9 are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

 A telephone call was made to Stephanie Seidman on 30 July 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Brusca whose telephone number is 703 308-4231. The examiner can normally be reached on M F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/709,905 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 703 308-4025. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746-5137 for regular communications and 703 746-5137 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0196.

John S. Brusca Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631

jsb July 31, 2002